Cancer Care Ontario Action Cancer Ontario

"Clinicians Driving Technology" -Developing ST CPOE Practice Guidelines and Supporting Their Adoption

Dr. Vishal Kukreti, MD, FRCPC, MSc Clinical Lead, eTools and Technology Cancer Care Ontario June 4, 2014



Objectives

- 1. Describe a provincial strategic plan for safety improvement in chemotherapy delivery based on guidelines development.
- 2. Describe the importance of guidelines in evaluating technology solutions in healthcare.
- 3. Describe the provincial measurement framework and process as it relates to computerized order entry systems (CPOE) for chemotherapy.









2



Overall research question to be addressed by the Guideline

What are the features, functionalities and components of a ST CPOE system which are required to ensure safe, high quality systemic treatment?









Review of the literature Environmental Scan: industry and professional reports Cancer Centre Consultations Engagement of content experts: Expert Panels Targeted Peer Reviewers Professional consultations

13

Modified Delphi Exercise





Pre-Implementation Phase					
Category	Recommendation (Sample)				
Usability	 Incorporate a human centered approach in the design, implementation and evaluation of CPOE systems. 				
	 Involvement of key stakeholders and end users in system design (e.g. physicians, pharmacists, nurses, information technology professionals, decision support, clinical informatics). 				
Functionality	 The system must contain functionality to support the medication ordering, verification, dispensing and administration process. Functionality must include the ability to monitor patient entrance/exit screening processes: set minimum and maximum dose levels, dose ceilings and rounding values. 				
System Integration	 Allows the patient to be uniquely identified across the continuum of care. 				
	 Allows access, management and storage of patient laboratory orders and results through a jurisdictional Laboratory Information system. 				
	Provides clinicians with an improved ability to manage complete medication profiles through a jurisdictional drug information system. 16				



Measurement Plan

Measurement of ST CPOE adoption will be addressed in two distinct components, each consisting of indicators, a data collection plan, and a reporting plan:

1. Guideline Concordance 2. 0

- **Key Question**: Is my ST CPOE in concordance with best practice guidelines?
- Audience: Intended to be used by ST CPOE system owners to evaluate their solution's functionality versus the guideline recommendations
- Main Source: Categorized as "Essential" or "Desired" functionality based on literature recommendations
- 2. Clinical Practice Outcomes Key Question: Is the use of my ST CPOE resulting in safe, effective, efficient, and integrated care?
- Audience: Intended to be used at the facility, regional, and provincial levels to measure the outcomes relating to the use of ST CPOE systems
- Main Source: Clinical outcome indicators cited in ST CPOE literature

Indicators provide a quantitative, evidence-based foundation for clinicians, organizations, researchers and health system planners to monitor and evaluate what happens to patients as a consequence of *how well professional and organizational systems function* to provide for the needs of patients (Mainz, 2003).











Reporting Priorities	Final Clinical Indicators / Subset of Reporting Indicators	Quality Dimension	
Future	Triggered Alert Rate (per order, per visit, per patient)	Safety	
Future	Override Rate	Safety	
Future	Adjusted Order Rate (per order)	Safety	
Future	Unsigned Order Rate (per order)	Efficient	
Future	Order Set Rate (per order)	Effective	
Future	Free Text Rate (per order)	Effective	
Future	Protocol-Consistent Order Rate (per order)	Effective	
<i>Near</i> to Midterm	Intercepted Order Rate (per order) / Proxy for Near Miss Rate	Safety	
<i>Near</i> to Midterm	Utilization Rate (per order) / Utilization Rate (per prescriber)	Effectiveness	
Near to <i>Midterm</i>	Chemotherapy Medication Error Rate (per order)	Safety	
Near to <i>Midterm</i>	Adverse Drug Event Rate – related to Chemotherapy	Safety	

ST CPOE Best Practice Guidelines Concordance Survey

Subcategory	Number of items	Total possible score	
Regimen and Protocols	4	16	
Functionality	22	88	
Useful Alerts	10	40	
Audit logs	1	4	
System Integration	10	40	
Usability	5	20	
Total	52	208	

Responses on a 4 point Likert Scale: Don't know, Not Available, Partially Implemented, Fully Implemented









7





Site Interviews to Establish a Quality Improvement Agenda



- Sites to decide on quality improvement plan
 Develop Quality Indicator
- Develop quality indicator
- 2) Some of the sites who have not yet implemented the following features have been recommended to:
 - Implement pharmacy verification
 - Implement improvements in labeling
 - Implement the take home prescription functionality in OPIS
- 3) Initiate Multidisciplinary Team





Acknowledgement

This guideline has been generously funded by eHealth Ontario, working in partnership with Cancer Care Ontario to improve the quality, safety and efficiency of systemic treatment across the province.

eHealth Ontario plays the leading role in harnessing technology and innovation to improve patient care, safety and access in support of the government's health strategy. The agency is responsible for implementing the government's eHealth agenda and creating electronic health records for Ontarians.

Listed below is the team that contributed throughout this initiative:

Annie Cheung
Cecelia Marie Hamasoor
Erin Rae
Jason Bulgin
John Gilks
Ken Sutcliffe
Leonark Kaizer MD
Lisa Sarsfield
Marc Theriault
Marta Yurcan

Nancy Wolf Redinela Mani Roxanne Cosby Sara Lankshear Sharon Gradin Sherrie Hertz Sinthujah Sivasambu Tim Yardley Vishal Kukreti MD